TiCl₄ Induced Anti-Markovnikov Rearrangement

Mugio Nishizawa,* Yumiko Asai, and Hiroshi Imagawa

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Tokushima Bunri University, Yamashiro-cho, Tokushima 770-8514, Japan

mugi@ph.bunri-u.ac.jp

Received September 22, 2006

ABSTRACT



Stereoisomeric bicyclic *tert*-alcohols afforded identical ring-expansion products via cationic anti-Markovnikov rearrangement from perpendicular *tert*-cations into identical six-membered ring secondary cations by the treatment with TiCl₄. These results provide evidence that the reaction takes place by the cationic stepwise mechanism.

In 1995, Corey and co-workers^{1,2} confirmed that the biosyntheses of sterols involves the tricyclic 6/6/5-cation (pre-C-ring cation) **1**, the 6/6/6-cation **2**, and the 6/6/6/5-cation **3** (Scheme 1).^{3,4} Hydride shift (*a*) and C–C bond migration



(*b*) from **3** are competing processes leading to tirucallanoids and tetrahymanoids, respectively. In the animal kingdom, steroids are also constructed through the corresponding boatform B-ring intermediates.^{1,2,4} The transformation of **1** into **2** involves ring expansion of a *tert*-cation into an unstable *sec*-cation, the so-called anti-Markovnikov rearrangement. The energetic disadvantage of this ring expansion was shown by Jorgensen through calculation of a model cation.⁵ Hess as well as Gao have proposed a concerted mechanism from

ORGANIC LETTERS 2006 Vol. 8, No. 25 5793–5796

^{(1) (}a) Corey, E. J.; Virgil, S. C.; Liu, D. R.; Sarshar, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1992**, 114, 1524–1525. (b) Corey, E. J.; Virgil, S. C.; Cheng, H.; Baker, C. H.; Matsuda, S. P. T.; Singh, V.; Sarshar, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1995**, 117, 11819–11820. (c) Corey, E. J.; Cheng, H. Tetrahedron Lett. **1996**, 37, 2709–2712. (d) Corey, E. J.; Matsuda, S. P. T.; Bartel, B. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **1994**, 91, 2211–2215. (e) T. Sato, T. Abe, T. Hoshino, Chem. Commun. **1998**, 2617–2618.

^{(2) (}a) Hoshino, T.; Sato, T. *Chem. Commun.* **2002**, 291–301. (b) Wendt, K. U.; Schulz, G. E.; Corey, E. J.; Liu, D. R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2000**, 39, 2812–2833. (c) Abe, I.; Rohmer, M.; Prestwich, G. D. *Chem. Rev.* **1993**, 93, 2189–2206.

^{(3) (}a) Woodward, R. B.; Bloch, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1953**, 75, 2023–2024. (b) Eschenmoser, A.; Ruzicka, L.; Jeger, O.; Arigoni, D. Helv. Chim. Acta **1955**, 38, 1890–1904. (c) Stork, G.; Burgstahler, A. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1955**, 77, 5068–5077. (d) Cornforth, J. W.; Cornforth, R. H.; Donninger, C.; Popjak, G.; Shimizu, Y.; Ichii, S.; Forchielli, E.; Caspi, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1965**, 87, 3224–3228. (e) Corey, E. J.; Russey, W. E.; Ortiz de Montellano, P. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1966**, 88, 4750–4751. (f) van Tamelen, E. E.; Willett, J. D.; Clayton, R. B.; Load, K. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1966**, 88, 4752–4754. (g) Johnson, W. S. Acc. Chem. Res. **1967**, 1, 1–8.

^{(4) (}a) Zheng, Y. F.; Abe, I.; Prestwich, G. D. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 4872–4873. (b) Kushiro, T.; Shibuya, M.; Ebizuka, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 1208–1216. (c) Abe, I.; Sakano, Y.; Sodeyama, M.: Tanaka, H.; Noguchi, H.; Shibuya, M.: Ebizuka, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 6880–6881. (d) Hoshino, T.; Shimizu, K.; Sato, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 6700–6703.

⁽⁵⁾ Jenson, C.; Jorgensen, W. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 10846–10854.

1 to **2** on the basis of ab initio calculation of model cations without considering the contribution of counteranions.⁶

We have also reported the C- and D-ring problem from an experimental viewpoint by following the fate of model cation 4.⁷ When the cation was generated by the reaction of diol **5** with a variety of Lewis acids, only the spiro product **7** was obtained via a hydride shift to **6** that corresponds to the conversion *a* from **3**. However, TiCl₄ generated the anti-Markovnikov cation **8** selectively and afforded the sixmembered ring compound **9** along with other related products, and this result corresponds to the rearrangement



from **1** to **2** and by following path *b* from **3**. This remarkable selectivity achieved with TiCl₄ was explained by the counteranion-controlled conformational changes on the basis of ab initio calculations.⁸ We concluded that counteranion $[BF_3 \cdot OH]^-$ reinforces the parallel conformation **4-I**, from which the hydride shift is the possible transformation. On the other hand, counteranion $[TiCl_4 \cdot OH]^-$ reinforces the perpendicular conformation **4-II**, from which the migration of C–C bond is the possible transformation. These were, in fact, the first theoretical calculations of cation conformations in the presence of counteranions⁹ and the first example to overcome the considerable energy barrier (the "big Markovnikov wall") in cation chemistry. We have achieved generalization of this novel anti-Markovnikov rearrangement by confirming the existence of cationic intermediates.¹⁰

5794

Now we have prepared hydroxy acetate (R)-10 as an optically active form with 94% ee as seen in chiral GLC (Figure 1a). Treatment of (R)-10 with 3.0 equiv of TiCl₄ in



Figure 1. GLC analysis using CHROMPACK Cyclodextrine-b-236M-19 (0.25 \times 25 M)

 CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature for 2 h afforded a mixture of the anti-Markovnikov rearrangement products **11** and **12** in 93% yield in a 3:7 ratio (Scheme 3). Separation of **11** and



12 was achieved by HPLC, and the purified 11 and 12 were proved to be racemates as seen in chiral GLC analysis (Figure 1b,c). On the other hand, treatment of the alcohol (R)-10 with 2.1 equiv of BF₃·Et₂O in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature for 30 min afforded a 1:1 mixture of alkenes 13a and 14 in 93% yield. Separation of 13a and 14 was accomplished by using HPLC. Since baseline separation of 13a was not achieved on chiral GLC analysis, the acetyl group was cleaved by using NaOMe in MeOH to give alcohol 13b, and the purified 13b was shown to be a racemate by chiral GLC (Figure 1d). We conclude that the reaction of (R)-10 with TiCl₄ takes place by forming achiral perpendicular cation 15, followed by rearrangement into racemic six-membered

^{(6) (}a) Hess, B. A., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 10286-10287. (b) Rajamani, R.; Gao, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 12768-12781. (c) Hess, Jr., B. A. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 165-167. (d) Vrcek, V.; Saunders, M.; Kronja, O. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 1859-1866. (e) Xiong, Q.; Rocco, F.; Wilson, W. K.; Xu, R.; Ceruti, M.; Matsuda, S. P. T. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 5362-5375.

⁽⁷⁾ Nishizawa, M.; Iwamoto, Y.; Takao, H.; Imagawa, H.; Sugihara, T. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 1685–1687.

⁽⁸⁾ Nishizawa, M.; Yadav, A.; Imagawa, H.; Sugihara, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, *44*. 3867–3870.

⁽⁹⁾ Nishizawa, M.; Yadav, A.; Iwamoto, Y.; Imagawa, H. *Tetrahedron* **2004**, *60*, 9223–9234.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Olah, G. A.; Bollinger, J. M.; Cupas, C. A.; Lukas, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1967**, 89, 2692–2694.

ring secondary cation **16**. The reaction should be terminated by the chloride attack from β -side as well as α -side to form (±)-**11**⁷ and (±)-**12**,⁷ respectively. Conversely, the reaction with BF₃ generates the achiral parallel cation **17**, and hydride shift takes place to give racemic tertiary cyclopentyl cation **18**. Subsequent deprotonation provides a mixture of alkenes (±)-**13**⁷ and **14**.⁷ It is not necessary to consider the reaction from parallel cation **15**' and perpendicular cation **17**' due to the significant energy barrier against **15** and **17**, respectively.^{8,9}

Next we designed *tert*-alcohol 19^{11} to explore the possibility of the anti-Markovnikov rearrangement of a simple isopropyl cation leading to a six-membered ring secondary cation by using TiCl₄. As is the case, the reaction of 19 with 2.0 equiv of TiCl₄ at -78 °C for 3 h afforded six-membered ring product 20 in 71% yield (determined by NMR using naphthalene as the internal standard) as the sole product (Scheme 4).¹² On the other hand, treatment with BF₃·Et₂O



(1.2 equiv) in CDCl₃ at 0 °C for 15 min afforded only hydride shift-deprotonation products **21** and **22** in 61% and 29% NMR yield, respectively.¹³ The six-membered ring product **20** should be produced through a rearrangement from perpendicular cation **23** to six-membered ring secondary cation **24**, and the olefinic products **21** and **22** should be formed via the hydride shift from the parallel cation **25** to *tert*-cation **26**. Contribution of parallel conformer **23'** as well as perpendicular conformer **25'** should be negligible due to their large energy barriers against **23** and **25**, respectively.^{8,9}

Finally, we designed a reaction of a pair of stereoisomeric *tert*-alcohols **27** and **28** (Scheme 5). If rearrangement takes place by the concerted mechanism from cation to cation as proposed by Hess,^{6a} we can expect stereoisomeric rearrangement products, that reflect the stereochemistries of the starting materials. For example rearrangement from **27**

⁽¹³⁾ Apparu, M.; Crandall, J. K. J. Org. Chem. **1984**, 49, 2125–2130.





through *cis*-cation **29** will afford α -chloride **31** via transition state **30**, whereas rearrangement from **28** through *trans*-cation **32** is expected to lead to β -chloride **34** via transition state **33**.

We achieved the reaction of the *cis*-alcohol **27** with 1.2 equiv of TiCl₄ at -78 °C for 12 h and the reaction was quenched by the addition of NaHCO₃ powder after warming to -20 °C. The reaction cleanly afforded β -chloride **34** in 94% yield as the single product. The 3β equatorial orientation of chloride was confirmed by the detection of a clean double doublet at 3.84 ppm with J = 13.2 and 4.4 Hz. Clear NOE relationships were observed between 1aH-2 β Me, 1aH-4 β H, 3α H-1 α H, 4β H-2 β Me, and 1aH-4aH. The reaction of *trans*alcohol **28** with TiCl₄ under the same conditions was also very clean, and the same product **34** was obtained in 86% yield as the sole product (Scheme 6). Thus it is evident that



either 27 or 28 generated the same six-membered ring secondary cation 37 from perpendicular cations 35 or 36 via C-C bond migration. The contribution of parallel cation 35' as well as 36' are negligible due to the high energy barrier against 35 and 36, respectively.^{8,9} Stereoselective chloride attack to 37 from the convex face resulted in selective formation of 34. We are therefore able to suggest that the cationic stepwise mechanism for our anti-Markovnikov rearrangement involves controlling the conformation of cationic intermediates by the counteranion [TiCl₄·OH]^{-.10}

⁽¹¹⁾ Mclean, C. D.; Middleton, S. Aust. J. Chem. 1978, 31, 26599–2667.

⁽¹²⁾ Jensen, F. R.; Moder, T. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 98, 2281-2283.

We are planning to undertake detailed DFT calculation on the conformation of our cationic intermediates, and the result will be described elsewhere.

When 27 and 28 were treated with $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ (1.2 equiv), tetrasubstituted olefin 38 was obtained as the major product along with a small amount of 39 (Scheme 7). Parallel cations



40 and **41** should be formed and following hydride shift into the common *tert*-cation **42** should lead to deprotonation to give **38** and **39**. Our earlier Hartree–Fock calculations^{8,9} predict that there should be a high energy barrier to the formation of cations **40'** and **41'** from **40** and **41**, respectively. Based on these calculations we presume that it is not necessary to consider them, though further calculations will need to be performed to confirm this unambiguously.

In 1985, we reported the first experimental evidence that olefin cyclization takes place via a stepwise mechanism via conformationally flexible cationic intermediates by trapping each cationic intermediate with water during Hg(OTf)₂-

induced cyclization of geranylgeranyl acetate.¹⁴ Considered together with the present observations, we propose that the mechanism of sterol biosynthesis occurs via a totally cationic stepwise mechanism. At the active site of the enzyme cavity, the pre-C-ring cation 1 will be reinforced to take a perpendicular conformation controlled by carboxylates of aspartic acid residues along with oxygen functionalities of tyrosine residues, and thereby be rearranged into C-ring secondary cation 2.^{15,16} Therefore, we have elucidated that it is possible to overcome the big Markovnikov wall by cation chemistry.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to Professor Yasufumi Ohfune of Osaka City University for his kind discussions, and Dr. Masao Toyota of Tokushima Bunri University for GC-MS analysis. This study was financially supported by a Grant-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of the Japanese Government.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental details and spectroscopic data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL062337X

(15) (a) Lenhart, A.; Weihofen, W. A.; Pleschke, A. E. W.; Schulz, G. E. *Chem. Biol.* 2002, *9*, 639–646. (b) Lenhart, A.; Reinert, D. J.; Aebi, J. D.; Dehmlow, H.; Morand, O. H.; Schulz, G. E. *J. Med. Chem.* 2003, *46*, 2083–2092.

(16) The "cationic center" surrounded by the negatively charged amino acid residues such as D376, D374, D377, Y495, Y609, S307, and Y420 of SHC¹⁵ seems to us the real reacting area of every cyclization—rearrangement step. The significance of hydrophobic aromatic amino acid residues such as W169, F601, F605, and F166 is also evident. We envision this area as an "aromatic tunnel" where the polyene chain of flexible conformation slides into the cationic center based on the π , π -interactions.¹⁵ We wish to call this a "Catch and Slide mechanism".

^{(14) (}a) Nishizawa, M.; Takenaka, H.; Hayashi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
1985, 107, 522-523. (b) Nishizawa, M.; Takenaka, H.; Hayashi, Y. J. Org.
Chem. 1986, 51, 806-813. (c) Nishizawa, M.; Takao, H.; Kanoh, N.; Asoh,
K.; Hatakeyama, S.; Yamada, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 5693-5696.
(d) Nishizawa, M.; Takao, H.; Iwamoto, Y.; Yamada, H.; Imagawa, H.
Synlett 1998, 76-78. (e) Imagawa, H.; Iyenaga, T.; Nishizawa, M. Synlett
2005, 7, 451-453. (f) Imagawa, H.; Iyenaga, T.; Nishizawa, M. Synlett
2005, 703-705.